

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,330	11/10/2003	S. Merrill Skeist	5094	
7:	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Arthur W. Fisher, III			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
5553 West Wat Tampa, FL 33	ers Avenue, Suite 316 3634		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/705,330	SKEIST ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pedro J. Cuevas	2834	·pr		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron . cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con	nmunication.		
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2003. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or company.	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate	152)		

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Electro-Mechanical Energy Conversion System Having

A Permanent Magnet Machine With Stator, Rotor, Resonant Transfer Link, And Energy

Converter Controls.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,330

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said plurality of said rotor control elements" in line 16.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-2 and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,225,712 to Erdman in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,885,493 to Gokhale.

Erdman disclose the construction of a variable speed wind turbine with reduced power fluctuation and a static VAR mode of operation comprising:

an energy converter device (Figure 2) coupled between the input energy source (Figure 3) and the output energy load (Grid) to convert the energy from the input energy source and to transfer the converted energy to the output energy load; and

an energy conversion and transfer control (54) to selectively control the energy converted from the input energy source and transferred to the output energy load in response to a plurality of predetermined conditions and parameters (power factor, reactive power, operation mode, and VAR's supplied to Grid);

wherein the energy converter device further comprises:

an energy converter section including:

a machine (16, 18) having a rotor and stator to selectively convert the energy from the input energy source and to selectively transfer the Art Unit: 2834

converted energy to the output energy load and an energy transfer section (36),

a plurality of symmetrical stator control elements (38, 40) coupled to said stator and a plurality of control elements to said lead of said machine,

a plurality of symmetrical rotor control elements (42, 44), said plurality of stator control elements and said plurality of said rotor control elements operatively coupled by a resonant bi-directional transfer link (46) to selectively transfer energy between said stator and the load to control the operation of said machine, and

wherein said energy conversion and transfer control comprises an energy converter control (50, 52) to control the operation of said energy converter device and a source/load to control the operation of said input energy source and output energy load with respect to said energy converter device; and

an isolation element (36), comprising a transformer, coupled between said plurality of stator control elements and said plurality of rotor control elements.

However, it fails to disclose the use of a permanent magnet machine.

Gokhale teach the construction of an output voltage control apparatus of a permanent magnet alternator comprising a permanent magnet machine for the purpose of providing an alternator with variable output voltage and power.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,330

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the permanent magnet alternator disclosed by Gokhale on the variable speed wind turbine disclosed by Erdman for the purpose of providing an alternator with variable output voltage and power.

- 9. With regards to claims 13-14, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the power for the stator phases within a predetermined range of the predetermined reference level, and the initial charge V_{CS} to be greater than the output voltage E_o, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.
- 10. With regards to claims 15-18, if the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. *Schrader*, 22F.3d at 294-95, 30USPQ2d at 1458-59. Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations, i.e., converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers, does not manipulate appropriate subject matter and thus cannot constitute a statutory process. MPEP 2106.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,330

Art Unit: 2834

The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, does not teaches the construction of an electro-mechanical energy conversion system as described on dependent claim 3, having a stator energy transfer control element comprising a switch coupled to each phase of said stator of said permanent magnet machine.

Page 6

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro J. Cuevas October 28, 2004

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner

M.ferry-

"achnology Center 2800